Homework #3d: Correlation & Regression Practice

From the website, get <u>Smoking & Four Lung Cancers</u> -- These are 1960s data relating Cigarettes smoked and deaths per 100k in 44 states.

 Correlate Cigarettes Smoked & the four kinds of cancer. Report the number of unique sig. correlations in the matrix. 		onship between Cig. and narize the stat.	r (42) = .704, p ≤ .05 r (42) = .697, p ≤ .05
		hips (between Cig and	r (42) = .487, p ≤ .05 r (42) =068, n.s.
4. How likely is it that the correlation between Lung-Cancer and K-Cancer is due to chance? What hypothesis testing conclusion do you reach? 6.3% chance, Retain Ho		cer and B-Cancer is What hypothesis ion do you reach?	6. What percent of variance in Lung- Cancer is explained by Cigarettes? r ² = .4858, so 49.59%
7. What percent of variance in B-Cancer is explained by K-Cancer? r ² = .1289, so 12.89%		dicting B-Cancer based	9. How much more accurate are you using the regression formula in the previous problem? r ² = .495, so 49.5%
If appropriate, state the reg. formula predicting Lung-Cancer based on arettes.11. What percent of variance in Lung- Cancer is explained by Cigarettes? What's the std err of the residual? $r^2 = .4858$, Sy' = 3.0661		ed by Cigarettes? What's residual?	 12. Predict Lung-Cancer deaths based on 40 Cigarettes per capita. y' = .529(40) + 6.472 = 27.632
 If appropriate, state the reg. formula predicting Leuk-Cancer based on Cigarettes. Not appropriate 		predicting Lung-Cancer . Sketch here	
Open the <u>employee selection</u> data file. Correlate (in this order) job perf, ass. center avg, cog abil, structured interview, & handwriting analysis.		2 the four correlations	r (15) = .470, n.s. r (15) = .520, p ≤ .05 r (15) = .367, n.s. r (15) = .183, n.s.
17. How likely is it that the correlation between ass. center avg and job performance is due to chance? What hypothesis testing conclusion? →			<mark>5.7%, Retain Ho</mark>
18. How likely is it that the correlation between structured interview and job perf is due to chance? What hypothesis testing conclusion?			<mark>14.7%</mark>
20. Percent of variance in structured int score explained by cog abil? r ² = .3457		predict job perf.	he problem with using Ass Cntr avg to that correlation is a fluke (i.e., not reliable)
23. Predict job perf with cog ability of 700. y' = bx + a = .009(700) -1.160 = 5.14			24. For prior problem, how much overall error in predictions? How much var accounted for in job perf? Sy' = 1.303, r ² = .271
26. Predict cognitive ability with job perf scr of 7. y' = 29.832(7) + 444.781= 653.605			27. If appropriate, state formula for predicting job perf based on assessment center average. Not appropriate
	mber of matrix.	mber of matrix.B-Cancer, summ matrix.3. Summarize til other relations other cancers).on er is due ng5. How likely is between K-Can simply a fluke? testing conclus 1.7%, Reject Ho ancer isancer is ormula8. If appropriate formula for pre- on Cigarettes. $\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{bx} + \mathbf{a} = .12$ ormula on11. What perce Cancer is explain the std err of the $\mathbf{r}^2 = .4858$, Sy' =ormula on14. Create a so regression line with Cigarettes a file. iss. erview,15. How many correlations?a file. is testing conclusion? 16. Summarize with job perforation between ass. center a is testing conclusion? 16. Summarize with job perforation between ass. center a is testing conclusion? 16. Summarize with job perforation between ass. center a is testing conclusion? 16. Summarize with job perforation between ass. center a as testing conclusion? 16. Summarize with job perforation between ass. center a as testing conclusion? 16. Summarize with job perforation between structured in esting conclusion? 3. Predict job perf with coga file. a by + a = .009(700) -1.1605. Predict cognitive ability	mber of matrix.B-Cancer, summarize the stat.3. Summarize the statistics for the three other relationships (between Cig and other cancers)